

## Annex D1.1 People to people projects

## QUALITY ASSESSMENT GRID

## Project identification

Project ID number

*Pre-filled from AF*

Project acronym

*Pre-filled from AF*Name of the lead partner  
organisation (original language or  
English language)*Pre-filled from AF*

## A. Strategic assessment criteria – 70 p

## A.1 Project relevance (20 p)

- How well is the need for the project justified? (ref. AF C.2.1 and C.2.2) – 10 p
- To what extent will the project contribute to the achievement of programme's objectives and indicators? (ref. AF C.1, AF C.4 and AF C.5) – 8 p
- The project clearly contributes to a wider strategy on one or more policy levels – i.e., EU / macroregional / national / regional / New European Bauhaus. (ref. AF C.2.5) – 2 p

## A.2 Cooperation character (20 p)

The project demonstrates: (ref. AF C.7.5)

- joint development – 5 p (mandatory)
- joint implementation – 5 p (mandatory)
- joint staffing – 5 p
- joint financing – 5 p

*OBS: projects not getting at least 15 p for this criterion will not enter the administrative and eligibility check and therefore will not be selected for support under the Programme.*

## A.3 Project intervention logic (20 p)

- Project specific objectives and its outputs and results contributing to programme indicators are realistic: it is possible to achieve them with given resources in terms of time, partners, and budget (ref. AF C.4, C.5, C.6, D) – 20 p

## A.4 Partnership (10p)

- With respect to the project's objectives, the project partnership: (ref. AF C.3)
  - is balanced with respect to the levels, sectors, territory and budget – 2.5 p
  - consists of partners that complement each other. – 2.5 p
- Partner organisations have proven competence in the thematic field concerned, as well as the necessary capacity to implement the project, in terms of financial, human resources, etc. (ref. AF B.1.6) – 5 p

## **B. Operational assessment criteria – 30 p**

### **B.1 Work plan (15 p)**

To what extent is the work plan realistic, consistent, and coherent?

- Proposed activities and deliverables are relevant and lead to planned outputs and results. (ref. AF C.4, C.5) – 10 p
- Distribution of tasks among partners is appropriate: e.g., sharing of tasks is clear, logical, in line with partners' role in the project, etc. (ref. AF C.4) – 5 p

### **B.2 Communication (5 p)**

- To what extent are communication activities appropriate to reach the relevant target groups and stakeholders? (ref. AF C.4) – 5 p

### **B.3 Budget (10 p)**

- The budget allocated to staff is in line with the project content and the costs are realistic. (ref. AF D.2 & E.3) – 5 p
- The information in the budget is transparent and sufficient. On that basis, the project budget appears proportionate to the proposed work plan, project outputs and project's contribution to programme indicators aimed for. (ref. AF D.2 & E.3) – 5 p

*OBS: To pass the quality assessment phase, projects shall meet the following cumulative minimum requirements:*

- *be scored minimum 65 points;*
- *be scored minimum 30 points for the strategic criteria (A);*
- *be scored > 0 points for each of the strategic (A) and operational (B).*

*Failure to comply with the above minimum requirements shall lead to the rejection of the project proposal.*